

Editorial

We hereby proudly present the third issue of Retskraft – Copenhagen Journal of Legal Studies. At the printing of our second issue, we could conclude that the attention and interest in our journal was increasing. At the printing of this, our third issue, the trend is happily continuing.

We have yet again experienced an increase in the number of articles that have been submitted to the editorial board of the journal. This enables us to select from a range of topics within different areas of law and to choose the articles that are most eligible for publishing. We are very grateful for all the submissions.

Furthermore, we are very thankful for the submissions to the next issue of Retskraft – Copenhagen Journal of Legal Studies, which we have already started receiving.

In short, the interest in our Journal is still going strong and we are proudly continuing our work to spread and encourage a culture of academic writing on the Faculty of Law at the University of Copenhagen. In order to achieve this fundamental aim of Retskraft – Copenhagen Journal of Legal Studies, it is important for the journal that the students whose articles have been chosen for publishing are able to learn and improve by receiving constructive criticism. In that regard, we are most thankful for the work of our peer-reviewers who have helped make this issue come together by providing valuable feedback for the students and for providing our journal with a mark of high quality.

As mentioned above, the articles in this second issue of Retskraft – Copenhagen Journal of Legal Studies are broadly based on different fields of law.

In relation to the restitution of looted art, Esther Schyberg has written an article on the shortcomings of regulation in regards to restituting artefacts to former colonies. In response to current political desires to limit access to spontaneous asylum, Stefan Weinschenck has written an article which examines the minimal amount of protection a state must provide for unwanted refugees. Regarding the future of the medicine industry, Rhiannon Jackson and Maria McAreavey has written an article about the relevance of privacy protections when Big Data meets Big Health. Søren Rams Rasmussen has written an article about the extent to which a private company in the EU is liable for the human rights violations of a subcontractor. And last, but not least, Natalia Spataru has written an article about the shortcomings of the Common European Asylum System and the need to practically implement the principle of burden-sharing and solidarity among EU Member States.